The date 26/11 has gone down in the history as a day that saw the most heinous attack carried on the Indian soil. The attack claimed 164 lives; leftover 300 injured and sent shock waves around the world. Terrorism has reared its ugly head every now and then and has devastated the world like nothing else. It is an issue which has affected millions of lives from Asia to the Americas but till date, there is no consensus on an international convention on terrorism. Several efforts have been made to address the problem but negotiations have not borne out results to address the issue.

**What is it?**

The Comprehensive Convention on International Terrorism is a proposed treaty which intends to criminalize all forms of international terrorism and deny terrorists, their financiers and supporters access to funds, arms, and safe havens. It is a draft proposed by India in 1996 that is yet to be adopted by the UNGA.

**What does it call for?**

Universal definition of terrorism: no good terrorist or bad terrorist.

Ban on all groups regardless of country of operation, cut off access to funds and safe havens.

Prosecution of all groups including cross border groups.

Amending domestic laws to make cross-border terror an extraditable offence.

It also addresses, among other things, the issue of Pakistan’s alleged support for cross-border terrorism in south Asia.

**Concerns expressed by various countries:**

**US + allies:** concerns over definition of terrorism, including acts by US soldiers in international interventions without UN mandate.

**Latin American countries:** concerns over international humanitarian laws being ignored.

There are also concerns that convention will be used to target Pakistan and restrict rights of self-determination groups in Palestine, Kashmir etc.

**Why the Lack of Consensus?**

- The Sixth Committee, the primary forum for the consideration of legal questions in the General Assembly had created an ad hoc group which is still debating the draft for Comprehensive Convention for Countering International Terrorism. There are several issues but the most important of them is “defining the terms related to terrorism”. Multiple groups have contentious claims over what should and what should not be regarded as terrorism.
- For instance, Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) has denied inclusion of liberation
movement activities as terrorism, keeping in mind the Israel-Palestine conflict.

- On the other hand, the US and the allied countries who are involved in many counter-terrorism activities in various countries wanted the draft to exclude acts committed by military forces of states during peacetime. The Latin American countries want exactly the opposite.
- Hence, for the committee prescribing an overarching definition that satisfies all the parties is a bone of contention.

### Geopolitics and the Act of terror

- Geopolitics is one of the most important determinants that has played the invisible hand in protecting the agents of terror. Masood Azhar is a case in point.
- Time and again China has been reluctant in declaring Azhar a terrorist. China, being a veto-wielding permanent member of the UN Security Council, has repeatedly blocked India’s move to do so. The US, Britain and France all back India to designate Masood Azhar a terrorist under the Al-Qaida Sanctions Committee of the UN Security Council.
- China, in its statement, said that since Pakistan didn’t agree with India on this issue, there is no “consensus” between the two directly affected parties. Beijing made it clear that China will support the issue only if Pakistan agrees with India.
- Pakistan government has been proven toothless when it comes to curbing nefarious activities emanating from her soil. There are scores of terrorist camps along the border, aided and funded either by the army (clandestinely) or terrorist groups like Jaish e Mohammad.
- The motive of the Pakistani administration has always been to support the fringe elements tacitly, in order to fulfill its policy to “bleed India with thousand cuts”.
- Adoption of the convention would not only force Pakistan to withdraw any tacit state support to the terrorist groups but would also enable India to extradite culprits who are responsible for designing terrorist acts from foreign soil.

### Comprehensive Convention on International Terrorism (CCIT)

- The CCIT will provide legal framework which will make it binding on all signatories to deny funds and safe havens to terrorist groups. **The original draft that was tabled in 1996 and discussed until April 2013 included the following major objectives:**
  - To have a universal definition of terrorism that all 193-members of the UNGA will adopt into their own criminal law.
  - To ban all terror groups and shut down terror camps.
  - Deny safe haven to those who finance, plan, support, or commit terrorist acts.
  - To prosecute all terrorists under a special law.
  - Exchange information in accordance with international and domestic law and cooperate
on administrative and judicial matters to prevent the commission of terrorist acts.

- To make cross-border terrorism an extraditable offence worldwide.

**The global impact of terrorism:**

1. There was no change in the five countries *most impacted by terrorism*, which include Iraq, Afghanistan, Nigeria, Syria and Pakistan. All of these countries have been ranked in the worst five every year since 2013.

2. Conflict continued to be the *primary driver of terrorist activity* for the countries most impacted by terrorism in 2017.

3. In 2017, terrorist attacks in conflict countries *averaged 2.4 deaths*, compared to 0.84 deaths in non-conflict countries. Terrorist attacks are more lethal on average in countries with a *greater intensity of conflict*. In 2017, countries in a state of war averaged 2.97 deaths per attack, compared to 1.36 in countries involved in a minor armed conflict.

4. There are *numerous possible reasons* for this difference. Countries in conflict have *a greater availability* of more military-grade small arms and bomb-making capabilities.

5. Countries that are not in conflict tend to be more economically-developed and spend *more on intelligence gathering, policing and counterterrorism*.

**Measures to Tackle Terrorism**

- India should play a proactive role to neutralize any threat of terrorism.

- Addressing UN High-Level conference on Heads of Counter Terrorism, Indian Special Secretary, Internal Security) extended a five-point formula –
  - Exchange of timely and actionable intelligence.
  - Prevention of misuse of modern communication through collaboration with the private sector.
  - Building capacities for improved border controls.
  - Sharing of info related to the movement of passengers.
  - Designation of Counter-Terror focal points to fight global terror.

- In addition, there should be a concerted effort from the countries affected by the scourge of terrorism to pressurize countries who engage in state-sponsored terrorism.

- It is believed that the Indian intelligence agencies did have actionable intelligence from its Israeli and the US counterpart regarding 26/11. So, intelligence gathering and sharing are not enough, timely & appropriate action is required on the intelligence received. Consequently, the Indian intelligence agencies have to be empowered both monetarily and through modern infrastructure to be able to respond in time.